

Proposal Title :	Parramatta City (Parramatta.	entre LEP 20	07 (Amt. 13) 12 -14 Phillip	Street, 333 and 339 Church Stre	et,
Proposal Summa	ry: The planning pro	posal seeks t	o amend the following cor	trols for the subject site:	
	- realign the 12m - increase the ma - realign the bour	maximum bu ximum permi idary of the R	ssible height from a range ilding height boundary; ssible FSR to allow 12:1 o E1 Public Recreation and at 333 Church Street, Parra	B4 Mixed Use zones; and	50m;
PP Number :	PP_2014_PARRA	_002_00	Dop File No :	14/01189	
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receive	07-Feb-2014 d :		LGA covered :	Parramatta	
Region :	Sydney Region We	st	RPA :	Parramatta City Council	
State Electorate :	PARRAMATTA		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
ocation Details.					
Street :	12-14 Phillip Street				
Suburb :	Parramatta	City :	Sydney	Postcode: 2150	
Land Parcel :					
Street :	333 and 339 Church Str	eet			

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Lillian Charlesworth
Contact Number :	0298601101
Contact Email :	Lillian.Charlesworth@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Jennifer Concato
Contact Number :	0298065767
Contact Email :	JConcato@parracity.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Terry Doran
Contact Number :	0298601149
Contact Email :	Terry.Doran@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	400
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	60
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Regional Team is not aware of lobbyists concerning this plannin		ation with registered
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Original date received 20 December, 2013. Additional information was requested and received 23 January, 2014.		
	Additional information provided by Council on 23 January was insufficient and necessitated a further request for information on 4 February, 2014. As the additional information was received on 7 February, 2014, this had now become the lodgement date.		
External Supporting Notes :			

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Comment :

The planning proposal aims to facilitate a proposed mixed use development on the site by amending the Parramatta City Centre LEP 2007 to allow, on the subject land: - realignment of the boundary between the RE1 Public Recreation zone and the B4 Mixed Use zone;

- a maximum building height of 150m (approx. 44 storeys), from 80m currently;
- realign the 12m maximum building height boundary;
- a maximum FSR of 12:1, from 6:1 currently; and
- remove a local heritage item at 333 Church Street, Parramatta.

Proposed adjustment of the zoning boundaries will enable a proposed extension of the basement, residential lobby, residential amenities level and residential tower onto the land to be included within the B4 zone.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

The planning proposal intends to amend the Parramatta City Centre LEP 2007 to enable: - realignment of the boundary between the RE1 Public Recreation zone and the B4 Mixed Use zone:

- a maximum building height of 150m (approx. 44 storeys), from 80m currently;
- realign the 12m maximum building height boundary;
- a maximum FSR of 12:1, from 6:1 currently; and
- remove a local heritage item at 333 Church Street, Parramatta.

The zoning realignment will reallocate 428sq.m. of land in the north-west corner of the site between the REI and the B4 zone and will result in no net loss of REI zoned land.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?		EPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) EPP No 55—Remediation of Land EPP (Infrastructure) 2007
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The proposal is inconsis Mixed Use zone. This inc the affected land area is of land zoned B4.	SINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES tent with this Direction as it will realign the boundary of the B4 consistency is considered to be of minor significance given that only 428sq.m. and there will be no net reduction in the amount

arramatta.	
	The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it will remove a local heritage item (shop) at 333 Church Street, Parramatta. A Statement of Heritage Impact has been prepared by GB&A which concludes that the building does not meet the entry threshold for LEP listing on the basis of the NSW Heritage Assessment Criteria. Investigations into potential archaeological and aboriginal heritage items have been undertaken and a strategy for conserving any potential items that may be found during excavation has been prepared.
	It is considered that the inconsistency with this Direction is of minor significance and it is recommended that the Director General's delegate approves of the inconsistency on this basis.
	S117 DIRECTION 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES The proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will increase residential density and housing choice in an area with existing infrastructure and services.
	S117 DIRECTION 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT The proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will improve access to housing, jobs, services and public transport by facilitating a mixed use development in a CBD location.
	S117 DIRECTION 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS The site contains class 1, 4 and 5 acid sulfate soils as per the mapping under Parramatta City Centre LEP 2007. A Phase 1 and 2 Contamination Assessment was undertaken by Douglas Partners which concluded that the presence of acid sulfate soils across the site is low and there are not likely to be any significant impacts to the development as a result of acid sulfate soils. The proposal is consistent with this Direction.
	SII7 DIRECTION 4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND The proposal is inconsistent with the following aspects of the plan:
	1. It will rezone land within the flood planning area from recreation to business use.
	Comment: Although the proposal intends to rezone 428sqm of land from RE1 Public Recreation to B4 Mixed Use, the proposed B4 area will no longer be identified as a flood planning area once recontouring and redevelopment of the site takes place.
	It is therefore considered that the inconsistency with this Direction regarding the area to be rezoned B4 is of minor significance.
	2. It will contain provisions that apply to the flood planning areas which permit a significant increase in the development of the land.
	Comment: The proposal has not clearly addressed this inconsistency and a Gateway condition is proposed to clarify this situation within Table 4 of the planning proposal.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTION 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES This Direction requires the approval of the relevant public authority and the Director General to create, alter or reduce existing zonings for public purposes. The proposal intends to alter the boundary of the REI Public Recreation Zone without causing any reduction in the amount of public open space.
	As the land is owned by Council, it is recommended that the Director General's delegate approve of the proposed boundary change as a matter of minor significance to ensure consistency with this Direction.
	SECTION 117 DIRECTION 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2036 The proposal is consistent with this Direction as it will facilitate additional tourism, retail and residential floorspace which supports and strengthens Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD.

	SEPP 55 - REMEDIATION OF LAND Douglas Partners in November 2011, prepared a Phase 1 and 2 Contamination Investigation for the site. The report concluded that the site is considered, from a contamination perspective, to be generally suitable for the proposed development. The proposal is therefore consistent with this SEPP.		
Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No			
lf No, explain :	A Gateway condition is recommended to address the consistency of the proposal with s117 Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land.		
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)			
Is mapping provided? Y	es		
Comment :	The maps provided are suitable for the purpose of community consultation.		
Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	The planning proposal recommends that community consultation be undertaken for a minimum of 28 days.		
Additional Director (General's requirements		
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of the proposal			
Does the proposal meet	Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes		
If No, comment :			
Proposal Assessment			
Principal LEP:			
Due Date :			
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Parramatta Principal LEP came into effect on 7 October, 2011. The Planning Proposal seeks to amend Parramatta LEP 2007 which is not part of the principal. There is currently a planning proposal to amalgamate both LEPs.		
Assessment Criteria			
Need for planning proposal :	In November 2011, Parramatta Council issued a request for proposals for development on the site which would deliver the following: - premium residential development with at least 24,000sq.m. of GFA with an emphasis on activation of Parramatta River's edge; and - public infrastructure including a Discovery Centre, multi-function space, associated retail and public domain improvements.		
	prepared by JPW on behalf of PCC DevCo1 Pty Ltd.		

Parramatta.	
Consistency with	SYDNEY METROPOLITAN STRATEGY 2036 AND DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRATEGY 2031
strategic planning framework :	The proposal is consistent with these strategies as it will: - strengthen Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD by creating a premier mixed use
namework .	- strengthen Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD by creating a premier mixed use development in close proximity to public transport;
	- Introduce a mix of services, facilities and uses on site including the Council Discovery
	Centre, Conference Centre, retall premises, cafes and high density residential
	development; - assist in delivering subregional housing targets by providing for the redevelopment of a
	currently underutilised site; and
	- encourage increased diversity and cultural activities within this part of the Parramatta
	CBD in close proximity to the existing Riverside Theatre.
	PARRAMATTA TWENTY38
	The proposal is consistent with Council's strategic plan known as Parramatta Twenty38 as
	it will provide for the concentrated growth of housing around public transport and activity
	nodes, rather than dispersed growth throughout the LGA. The proposal contributes to addressing a number of key challenges outlined in the Parramatta Twenty38 including:
	- provision of residential dwellings;
	- access to the Parramatta River foreshore; and
	- development that encourages social inclusion and community interaction.
Environmental social	SYDNEY METROPOLITAN STRATEGY 2036 AND DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRATEGY 2031
economic impacts :	The proposal is consistent with these strategies as it will:
	- strengthen Parramatta's role as Sydney's second CBD by creating a premier mixed use
	development in close proximity to public transport; - introduce a mix of services, facilities and uses on site including the Council Discovery
	Centre, Conference Centre, retail premises, cafes and high density residential
	development;
	- assist in delivering subregional housing targets by providing for the redevelopment of a
	currently underutilised site; and - encourage increased diversity and cultural activities within this part of the Parramatta
	CBD in close proximity to the existing Riverside Theatre.
	RE1 PUBLIC RECREATION ZONE
	The proposal will realign the boundaries of the REI and B4 Mixed Use zone, although this realignment will not result in any net loss of public open space but rather will provide a
	wider and more consistent band of RE1 zoned land.
	Redevelopment of the site will include foreshore works to reclaim a part of the foreshore land using flood resistant structures and result in increased usable public open space.
	Proposed landscaping works will also enhance the quality of public open space.
	The proposal will therefore generate a positive community benefit in terms of increased
	provision of high quality public open space with improved foreshore accessibility.
	OVERSHADOWING
	The proposal intends to increase the maximum permissible height from 80m to 150m. An
	overshadowing analysis has been undertaken which considers potential overshadowing impacts in terms of key sensitive locations, including Church Street and foreshore public
	recreation facilities to the west of the site.
	The planning proposal concludes that no unacceptable overshadowing impacts on the public domain will arise as a result of development enabled by the planning proposal.
	public domain will arise as a result of development enabled by the planning proposal.
	LOCAL HERITAGE ITEM
	339 Church Street, Parramatta is affected by heritage item no.90, a shop, although this
	listing is in error as no.339 is a vacant site. This error will be rectified as part of a separate planning proposal (Amendment No.9).
	OLD GOVERNMENT HOUSE
	The site is located within Precinct 3 - Riverside Edge of the "Technical Report
	-Development in Parramatta City and the Impact on Old Government House and Domain's

Parramatta.			
	Character Guideline Impact Statement pr	Heritage Listed Values." The report pro- s for development within this Precinct. epared by Graham Brooks and Associa nts respond to the Character Guidelines	According to the Heritage tes, the proposed development
	although 333 Church flood affected. A dev	ite is identified as flood prone land und a Street and the southern portion of the relopment application has been approve Bridge abutments that will reduce upst in the near future.	Lennox Street Car Park is not ed to construct flood portals in
	construction jobs, 60	cilitated by the planning proposal will co 0 direct jobs from ongoing operation of onference Centre and retail space) and roader area.	the development (including the
		een undertaken that concluded that all d level of service when the additional tr	
	spaces although this	e proposal will result in the loss of 72 of is not expected to result in an unaccep it closure has been addressed in the Pa 1.	ptable social or economic
Assessment Proce	SS		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	DDG
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Consultation - 56(2) Sydney Metropolitan Catchment Management Authority		
ls Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :	:		
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public	
Planning Proposal - 7 February 2014 version.docx	Proposal	Yes	
cover letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
FLOOD IMPACT ASSESSMENT.pdf	Study	Yes	
ARCHAEOLOGICAL (ABORIGINAL) ASSESSMENT.pdf	Study	Yes	
ARCHAEOLOGICAL (NON ABORIGINAL) ASSESSMENT.pdf	Study	Yes	
Heritage Investigations and Advice.pdf	Study	Yes	
PHASE 1 2 CONTAMINATION INVESTIGATION.pdf	Study	Yes	

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	DELEGATION OF PLAN-MAKING FUNCTION
	Council has advised that it will not exercise the plan making delegations in this instance as the subject land is owned by Council.
	Note: the Director, Metropolitan Delivery (Parramatta) is of the view that the proposal is outside her Gateway delegation and it is appropriate that the planning proposal be submitted to the LEP Panel for attention.
	RECOMMENDATION
	It is recommended that the Director General's delegate approves the inconsistency with the following section 117 Directions, on the basis of minor significance: - 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones - 2.3 Heritage Conservation - 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	Further, it is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceeds subject to the following conditions:
	1. prior to community consultation, the Planning Proposal is to be amended, as follows:
	(a) the Explanation of Provisions section of the proposal be amended to

	clarify that should LEP 2007 be repealed by the making of the amalgamated
	plan, the amendment will be made to the amalgamated plan;
	(b) amend Table 4 against s. 117 Direction 4.3 (6)(c) to clarify whether
	the plan is consistent with the direction;
	(c) remove all tracking changes eg. on the contents page;
	(d) amend Table 1 to ensure complete sentences e.g. items 19, 20 and 55,
	,,,
	location and description columns;
	(e) ensure correct referencing of all tables and figures eg. page 44 refers to
	Figure 28 instead of Figure 27;
	(f) amend the heritage discussion under Section 2.4 to indicate that the site
	is affected by controls relating to Old Government House.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the
	Environmental Planning and Assessment Act (EP&A Act) 1979 as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be publicly available for a minimum of 28 days;
	and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements
	for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for
	material that must be made publicly available along with planning
	proposals identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A Guide to Preparing LEPs
	(Department of Planning and Infrastructure 2012).
	(peparament of Franking and invasidatione zorzy.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under
	section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	- Department of Education and Communities
	- Office of Environment and Heritage
	- Energy Australia
	- Transport for NSW
	- Railcorp
	- Roads and Maritime Services
	- Sydney Water
	- Fire and Rescue NSW
	- NSW Aboriginal Land Council
	- Sydney Metropolitan Catchment Management Authority
	- Sydney Metropolitan Airports
	- Department of Infrastructure and Regional Development
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.
	4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or
	body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge
	Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public
	hearing (for instance in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.
	-
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal is supported as it will achieve increased residential densities and
	employment in an highly suitable location close to transport, employment and services,
	whilst also facilitating the provision of a tourist facility and improvements to the public
	domain.
	(MA BOD)
Signature:	(MATHIN
	TODAN IIIalin
Printed Name:	Date: 47/0/14